```
```

Voice: Conversation number thirty eight dash (·) eighty two.u(·) Po:rtion of```

```
Voice: Conversation number thirty eight dash (·) eighty two.u(·) Po:rtion of
            a telephone conversation (\cdot) between the Presidint? 'h en h\underline{Henry E.}
            a telephone conversation (\cdot) between the Presidint? 'h en h\underline{Henry E.}
            Petersin. 'hhh This portion}\boldsymbol{u}\mathrm{ was recorded on April sixteenth 'hh nineteen
            Petersin. 'hhh This portion}\boldsymbol{u}\mathrm{ was recorded on April sixteenth 'hh nineteen
            sevendy three ·hhh between eight fifty eight 'h en ni=ne fourtee:n p.m.u
            sevendy three ·hhh between eight fifty eight 'h en ni=ne fourtee:n p.m.u
                (5.9) ((dead tape))
                (5.9) ((dead tape))
            ((bd[p))
            ((bd[p))
                            [H'llogh[h
                            [H'llogh[h
                                    [Mi[stuhh Peeti[\downarrowsin.]
                                    [Mi[stuhh Peeti[\downarrowsin.]
                            [ [ ]
                            [ [ ]
Peters:
Peters:
Nixon:
```

Nixon:

```


```

                                    ([thas wid[ah sih)
    ```
                                    ([thas wid[ah sih)
( )
```

( )

```
Nixon:
``` \(\qquad\)
``` =hhuhhuh
\((\cdot)\)
Peters: ___
```

$\qquad$

``` \({ }^{1} \uparrow\) Oh::: she(h)rv w'r- they're he:re in we geh we: got tihgether with 'em
```


## (•)

```
Nixon: \(\quad 2\)-Well that's \(\downarrow\) goo[:d thetche \(] h h h h\)
Peters: [End they:] jis' suud huu-eh (0.2) They all jist holluhd<
Nixon: [hmhh[hhh
Peters: \(\quad 3\) [(0.2) [the President's cwalling right awnna noes et nine uh'clock \(h\)
```

[^0][Mi[stuh Peeti[ $\downarrow$ sin.]

```



```

([thas wid[ah sih)
Nixon:
Peters: ___

```
```

Nixon:
Op:

```


```

Didje get out with yer kids?

```



\begin{tabular}{lr} 
Nixon: & heh hu[h huh \([\cdot \underline{h u h h} \cdot \underline{h u}] \underline{h h}\) \\
Peters: & {\([\operatorname{lnih~hi}[\mathrm{h} \uparrow \cdot \underline{\mathrm{ihh}}]\)}
\end{tabular}

Nixon: Well I wannidih gitche: ih-gitche in bed earlier dihnight then last night. \(=\)
\((\quad): \quad=\cdot \underline{h u h h}=\)
Nixon: \(\quad=h \operatorname{Ri}[\) ght \(\cdot h h\) En I wanna get t'bed too. \(\cdot h e h[h h\)
Peters:
[
[Uh:

Nixon:



\section*{43}
\({ }^{4}\) Frederick Cheney LaRue, according to the 'Profiles of key figures' in The Watergate Hearings (pp.846-7),
"was an intimate friend and political lieutenant of former Attorney General John N. Mitchell." Lukas (pp.3512), describes him as "passing messages, briefing witnesses, delivering cash - the indispensable jack-of-allmissions." When LaRue was assistant to John Mitchell at the committee to re-elect, he was involved in the 'hush money' payments (Lukas, p. ), pleaded guilty to a charge of one count of conspiracy to obstruct justice, sentenced to one to three years, sentence reduced to six months (Jaworski, p.339).
\({ }^{5}\) Paul L. O'Brien was hired in June, 1972 as one of the lawyers representing the Committee to Re-elect the President in the Watergate matter. John Dean (pp161-2) refers to O'Brien as a member of "the middle-level cover-up group", and as "serving as [one of the] intermediaries with Hunt."
```

Nixon: =hmh[hh
Peters: [(tik) what 'ee (did)
(0.3)
Nixon: p.tk[What }\mp@subsup{}{}{\circ}hmhhhhhhhhh\mp@subsup{}{}{\circ
Peters: [ Becuz huhh he tol:d John Mitche[ll thet it was] () [`quo:te all over.
[ttlk
Peters: En[d quote,]
Nixon: [wh hmh] t\cdothhh[uhh 'huhho
[-(0.5)-[
Peters: [(``but }\mp@subsup{}{}{\circ}\mathrm{ -ahf uh[: )
Nixon:
[He said he id told John Mitchell }\mp@subsup{}{}{\circ}\mathrm{ that? }\mp@subsup{}{}{\circ}
Peters:

```
\(\qquad\)
```

=Yes.=
(0.3) =\bullet((voice [in bkg.?))
Peters:

```
\(\qquad\)
```

                            [
    Nixon:
[-h hh
Peters: =en ọbstruction ơf justice.=
Nixon: =
Peters: ['hhhh u-Uh::::m [h e :::
Nixon: [hh hh-hh.
Meters: hehhh \bulletuh- idmits be[in' presint e:z Dean siz 'ee wa::s e[t uh (.) the thid=
Peters: }\quad=(\downarrow\mp@subsup{W}{gh}{
[
[hmhh
Nixon: [(Mm) hm:?h=
Peters: =But uh::: 'h[h
['tWho w'z who w'z prez it thạt meeting Henry I don't r[ecall ]
[ ]=
Peters:
[He in]

```
```

Peters: =Mitchell.
Nixon: $\quad$ pt tch $\underline{H e}$ en Mitchell alone? $[h h$
Peters: [Uhyuh=And he say::s uhm- uh:::m=
Nixon: =}\quad=\bullet\mathrm{ Uh LuhRu- ụ-LihRue in Mitchell?=I didn't uh ·huhyehh •that's one
meeting I seem to'v missed=Dean w'z not there.=\et that mee[ting.\downarrow] [31.0]
Peters: [.huhh]
hu}\underline{\mathrm{ Uh::m mn- }\uparrow\mathrm{ Dean tells us a \ bout it. (.) Now I em not quite cer'n wither}

```

```

Nixon:
[hmhhhhhh]
Nixon: }\quadOh:.h
Peters: =The[y-
Nixon: [That-h some meeting it- Ohy\mathrm{ yah I- (`) I heard about that m[ih-m]eeting=}=0
Peters:
=but uh 'hh think you tol' me about that.=
Peters: =E[n he] s:::ays eez relawct-eh [he's [relawctin' to uhm (0.3) tih [s a :]y :
Nixon: [[Yah,] ] [hmh[hmhhhh [hmh]hmhhh

```
Peters: \({ }^{6}=\) me:rictroni[c ea:vesdropping et that \(\uparrow\) point. •hhhh Uh[: but I think eez gonna=
Nixon: [
[hmhhh
[hmhhhhh
Peters:
``` \(\qquad\)
``` \(=c\) 'm arou: \(: n d\), he's \(j \bullet \underline{i s t}\)
Nixon: (0.7)
hmhhmhhh
Peters:
``` \(\qquad\)
``` (mg) m'n eez j•ust very fond'v John Mitche[1l.
Nixon:
Peters:
51
6 "me:rictronic" in standard orthography would be shown as "electronic".
```

```
Peters: thet it c}\mp@subsup{\textrm{c}}{}{\textrm{h}}\mathrm{ 'd nawt hev been meh-[activādid without Mitchell's approval=
            [
                                    [hmhh
Peters: }\quad\mp@subsup{}{}{7}=(\mp@subsup{h}{wavey ygr}{g}
Nixon: hMmhm
Peters: \quadhhhahhhh (0.3) \bulletUh,hhhh O'Brien they didn' get to }\mp@subsup{\downarrow}{\textrm{gh}}{}\mathrm{ :.
                    (0.2)
                    ((ptchh•k))
Nixon: [hmhhhh::::
            [
                            [(O'Brien) uh: called back aroun::d five uh'[clock in said 'ee wih=hhe: wiz=
                                    [hmhh
Nixon:
Peters: =havin' difficulty gin getting a lawyer?
Nixon: hhHmhm?=
Peters:
```

$\qquad$

```
                            =hHe:: fin'lly got a lawyer: in uhm t en uh (`) gnuh:
Nixon: (0.3) `0hmhho`
Peters:
\(\square\)
                                    Cols'n's }\uparrow\underline{law
            ((tk tuk))
Nixon:
    I
```


[•hh And u[h
Peters:
Nixon:
[Colson's ${ }^{\circ} \uparrow$ law $\downarrow$ partner. ${ }^{\circ}=$
Peters: $\quad 8 \quad=n$ Neyuh the United States Attorney's Ovvice took eessue with it ' n threat'n dih go duh the judge on a conflict,$h$

## (•)

Nixon: $\quad$ hh $[\mathrm{h}$ M[ $\underline{\underline{m} h \underline{m}]}$
[ug l so u]h: then he uh=

47
${ }^{7}$ I suppose that "(hwaveyghr)" might conceivably be 'however'. It might also be 'while there'.
8 "nNeyuh" might also be displayed as "' $\mathrm{n}=$ Eyuh"; i.e., an initial restart "('n") of the continuation initiated with "•hh And u[h", followed by a minimal confirmation of the overlapping talk. On the other hand, Petersen might alternate among such pronunciations as "Eyuh" and "Neyuh".

```
Nixon: = p got another one [hhhuh, ]
Peters:
```

$\qquad$

```
                                    [then 'ee] god'nother lawyygher
·ptch
gn-'nd hē`'ll b[e back tuh]mmgorrow.
Nixon: [ [ % [That's good ]}\mp@subsup{}{}{\circ
                                    (0.6)
Peters: ·hh=
Nixon: }\quad=\downarrow\textrm{Mm}[\textrm{hm
                                    [Uh:::,h(0.2) Now 'hhh h-
Nixon: hmhh
Peters: Uh,hh hh \cdothh=
Nixon: =*So all yih got to dihday wiz LaRue}\downarrow:
```



```
Nixon:
                                    [\downarrowhMmhm,]hhh
Peters:
```

$\qquad$

```
u[h-Uhh thē: im
(Ø ):
[(uh)
Nixon: (2.5) hmh-hmhh
((hstlklah kl-k))
Peters:
``` \(\qquad\)
``` -(So) all a[dditional inf'rmation [fr'm::
```

Nixon:
[hmhh
[•hh•hb•La Rue said he e:d (•) eh
$(\cdot)$ ethe( $\cdot$ )t 'ee said thet ( $\cdot$ ) he ed tol:d Mitch $\downarrow$ ell thet it w'z all over. $\downarrow=$
Peters: $\quad=\mathrm{Y}[\mathrm{es}$
[-hh'hh When did 'ee do tha[:t diyu[h,hh
[ [
[•hh [Recently.

```
Nixon: }\quad\mp@subsup{}{}{\circ0}\textrm{Mm}\bulleth\underline{m}\mp@subsup{?}{}{\circ\circ
Peters: Just recentlay. [T'day ye]s\underline{terday,}
                            [ ]
Nixon: [Mm:h\underline{m}?]
(0.3)
Peters: -day b'fore,=
Nixon: =
Peters: Yahn in deh (0.2)( ey) jus' throwin' in [d'sponge.
Nixon: [hmhhh
    ((tchik))=
Nixon: =I get it
(0.2)
Peters: ·hhuhhyeahhh Uh
Nixon: [hmhhh
Peters: }\cdott\cdothh\underline{eyuh< N}\cdot0w (0.2) guh-uh,[uh uh-w\underline{e}\mathrm{ towked earlier t'day about uh=
Nixon: [hmhhh
Peters: }\quad=abou[t Ehrlich \downarrowmin
Nixon:
    [hmhh
( ): [( )
[
[((tchik))
Peters: \(\quad\) Now \({ }^{\circ} \uparrow ̣^{\circ}\)-uh ::m
Nixon: \(\quad \mathrm{t} \cdot \mathrm{plk} \cdot \underline{\mathrm{hh}}\)
Nixon: [hmhhh
Peters: \(\quad 9 \quad[\uparrow u h\) a lid'l addish'nal detail on that
47
\({ }^{9}\) It appears that Petersen got the "additional detail" that Liddy confessed to Dean (see below, p. 8 line 3) from Assistant U.S. Attorney Earl J. Silbert. See p.18, line 41 to p.19, line 26, particularly p.18, line 45 Petersen's "We're still tying down facts with him [Dean]" and p.21, line 38 to p.22, line 9, particularly p.22, lines 5-9, Petersen's "I'm not too quite sure when Dean said it uh Silbert (interviewed him)."
```

```
Nixon:
Peters: 10
Nixon:
Nixon: -tch}\mp@subsup{}{}{\circ}\mathrm{ June nineteenth. }\mp@subsup{}{}{\circ}h
Peters:
```

$\qquad$

```
Uh Ju:::ne <ehh:::n ih-Dean nen told Ehrlichmin.
Nixon: (3.1) hmhmhhhh
Nixon:
``` \(\qquad\)
``` Liddy confessed thet he: \((\cdot) \downarrow\) wat did the deal?=er [what.=
Peters:
\(\left[{ }^{\circ} \cdot h^{\circ}\right.\)
Peters: \(\quad=\cdot \underline{\operatorname{hhh}} \underline{U h}:\) thet he \(w\) 'z presen \(t \underline{\text { in the Wotergate. }}\)
[((tchk))
[
Nixon: \(\quad[\mathrm{Mm} \mathrm{h} \underline{m} ? h[h h h\)
[
[Un:m \(\cdot \mathrm{h}\) then: \((\cdot)\) you also easked about \(\mathrm{C}_{h} \underline{\mathrm{O}}\) :Ison \(<\mathrm{C}_{h} \uparrow \underline{\text { olsin }}::=\)
Nixon: \(\quad=h[h m h m\)
[
[uh::: •hhh • \(h \uparrow\) uh en Dea:n,=
Nixon: \(\quad=\cdot t \cdot h u: h h \bullet\)
(•)
Peters: uh ị-were ( \(\cdot\) ) tihgether with Ehrlichmi[n,
Nixon:
[hmhhh
Peters: whe:n::
\((\) Ø \(): \quad{ }^{\circ}(\cdot \mathrm{t} \cdot \mathrm{h})^{\circ}\)
```

[^1]

## 34

${ }^{11}$ Petersen got this from Silbert. See p.18, lines15-21, and pp. 20-21. In his opening statement at the Watergate Hearings (p.273) Dean says that the afternoon of June 19, "Ehrlichman instructed me to call Liddy to have him tell Hunt to get out of the country. I did this without even thinking. Shortly after I made the call, however, I realized that no one in the White House should give such an instruction and raised the matter. Colson chimed in that he also thought it unwise, and Ehrlichman agreed. I immediately called Liddy again to retract the request and he informed me that he had already passed the message and it might be too late to retract." Dean gives a more detailed account in his book, Blind Ambition (pp.102-3). Ehrlichman, in his book, Witness to Power (p.317), has this to say about the matter: "Dean ... manufactured the story that I had instructed him to have Howard Hunt flee the country. Dean claimed I committed that bizarre felony in front of three witnesses at a meeting in my office at 4 P.M. Monday (June 19). The others at that session, Bruce Kehrli [a staff secretary] and Charles Colson, do not corroborate Dean; in fact, Colson tells me (and has sworn in court) that Dean told him that he, Dean, told Hunt to flee without any instructions from anyone." Liddy's version, in his book, Will (pp.338-341), supports Ehrlichman's. During their conversation as they walked down $17^{\text {th }}$ Street, Dean at some point says " 'Where's Hunt these days?' 'Lying low. The reporters are after him. Why?' It was at this moment, and not later, on the telephone after talking to Ehrlichman, that Dean said: 'Well, for that reason, and what you've told me [which I took to be a reference to the Ellsberg matter], I think he'd be better off out of the country. . . .The sooner the better." Later that day, back at his office some "forty minutes" after delivering the message to Hunt, Liddy receives the call from Dean saying " 'Ehrlichman says cancel it.' " (The bracketted material re "reference to the Ellsberg matter" is Liddy's.)

```
```

Nixon: =

```
```

```
```

Nixon: =

```
```




```
```

        -paymint=
    ```
```

        -paymint=
    ( ): =hh \bullethh
( ): =hh \bullethh
Peters:

```
Peters:
```

$\qquad$

```
m:oney. [eaf f]ter
```

m:oney. [eaf f]ter
Nixon:

```
Nixon:
```



``` m:oney. [eaf]ter
    (0.5)
    (0.5)
Peters:
```

```
Peters:
```

```

```

Nixon:

```
\(\qquad\)
```

plp khm
Peters: ah[: :

```

``` (([blk))
Nixon:
th' fal:c. . \(\mathrm{thh} h h\)
[
Peters: [June seventee:nth, hhéyahh \(\bullet \mathrm{Uh}(\cdot)\) Mitchell: \([-(0.4)-]\)
```




```
((tink dok)) after: \(u h b_{b} \mathrm{~m}\)


Nixon:
12

[hmhhh

Peters: \(\qquad\) -pt héyahhhh h[Uh::: Dea::n

Nixon:

(0.4)
( \(\varnothing\) ):
Peters: \(\qquad\) \(k h-\underline{h} h k h[h\) [(n•sit like) he didn' have that authority en he went tuh Ha:lldeman. hmhh<

\section*{44}
\({ }^{12}\) Herbert H. Kalmbach was President Nixon's personal lawyer, completely devoted to the President's service. John Dean (Blind Ambition 2 p. 381) characterized Kalmbach as "one of the most likeable men I'd ever met". According to G. Gordon Liddy (Will, p.282), Kalmbach "was the kind who, were the ship sinking, would put your mother into the lifeboat before his own." Kalmbach became a key figure in the White House efforts to raise money for the Watergate defendants. He pleaded guilty in February, 1974, to a violation of the Federal Corrupt Practices Act, and to promising federal employment as a reward for political activity and support of a candidate. He was sentenced to serve six to eighteen months in prison and fined \(\$ 10,000\). After 6 months in jail he was released in January, 1975 with the sentence modified to time served (Jaworski, p.347).
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|}
\hline 1 & (0.4) & ( \(\cdot\) ) \\
\hline 2 & | & \\
\hline 3 & Nixon: ___ & \(h \mathrm{Hmh}[\mathrm{m}\) \\
\hline 4 & & [ \\
\hline 5 & Peters: & [Haldemin ga:ve eem the authority. \(\mathrm{h}[\mathrm{hh}\) \\
\hline 6 & & [ \\
\hline 7 & Nixon: & [ \(\mathrm{Mm}[\mathrm{h} \underline{\mathrm{m}}\) ? ] \\
\hline 8 & & [ ] \\
\hline 9 & \multirow[t]{6}{*}{Peters:} & [He th]en got in tuu:tch \\
\hline 10 & & \\
\hline 11 & & with Kalmba:ck (0.2) t•o (a)rrange for:: (-0.[4-)] mawney the details= \\
\hline 12 & & [ ] \\
\hline 13 & & (([wshh]ew wshhew)) \\
\hline 14 & & \\
\hline 15 & Peters: & =ev [which: we really don't know iz yet= \\
\hline 16 & & [ \\
\hline 17 & Nixon: & [hmhhh \\
\hline 18 & & \\
\hline 19 & Nixon: & \(=\cdot \mathrm{t}\) ụRight \(\left[{ }^{\circ} h m h h^{\circ}\right.\) \\
\hline 20 & & [ \\
\hline 21 & \multirow[t]{6}{*}{Peters:} & [So Kalmba:ck is al:so [-(0.4)-] h h waw a gre•nd jirry witniss \\
\hline 22 & & [ ] \\
\hline 23 & & \((([\) tchitchuck] \()\) ) \\
\hline 24 & & \\
\hline 25 & & ( \(\cdot\) ) \\
\hline 26 & & \\
\hline 27 & \multirow[t]{4}{*}{Nixon:} & \({ }^{\circ} \mathrm{hmh}{ }^{\circ}\) •(Right) \\
\hline 28 & & \\
\hline 29 & & ( \(\cdot)\) \\
\hline 30 & & \\
\hline 31 & \multirow[t]{4}{*}{Peters:} & tuh be ca:lled, \\
\hline 32 & & \\
\hline 33 & & (0.2) \\
\hline 34 & & \\
\hline 35 & \multirow[t]{2}{*}{Peters: \({ }_{\text {(1.7) }}\)} & \(\cdot h h h h h h h h[h h\) \\
\hline 36 & & [ \\
\hline 37 & \multirow[t]{4}{*}{Nixon:} & \multirow[t]{2}{*}{[hmhhh} \\
\hline 38 & & \\
\hline 39 & & (.) \\
\hline 40 & & \\
\hline 41 & Peters: ___ &  \\
\hline 42 & & [ [ ] \\
\hline 43 & Nixon: & [ \({ }^{\circ} \mathrm{hhh}^{\circ \circ}\) [Right.] \\
\hline 44 & & \\
\hline 45 & \multirow[t]{6}{*}{Nixon:} & \(=\cdot h m h h \cdot \mathrm{plp}\) What is yer: situation with regard \(\downarrow\) duh: n-neegotiation with \\
\hline 46 & & \\
\hline 47 & & -Dean ạ:nd uh: yer nėgotiagtion with regard to uh hh hh hah th•ė plea by \\
\hline 48 & & \\
\hline 49 & & Magruder. \(\mathrm{hmh}[\mathrm{h}\) \\
\hline 50 & & [ \\
\hline 51 & Peters: & [ \(\cdot\) - heyuhh \(\cdot\) We: 11 ? uh thē-:-: ( \(\cdot\) ) thē u[h \\
\hline 52 & I & \\
\hline 53 & Nixon: | & [hmhh \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

((tak))
Peters: \(\quad=\mathrm{M}^{\prime}\) gr[u d e r's la w y e r s] \(h h[(\) er dih \()\)
Nixon: [J's tryin' dih get thė t-] [try duh get the timing yuh see with
\begin{tabular}{ll} 
Peters: \(\quad\) 'gruder's lawyers er still wait'nih git back to \(u[s\). \\
Nixon: & {\([h h h \cdot p l p \cdot \underline{I} \downarrow\) see. \(=\)}
\end{tabular}
Peters: \(]^{13}=\)-hh Uh:: they're very much concerned abo[ut Judge Siri \(\downarrow\) ca. \(=\)
Nixon:
[hmhh



\footnotetext{
34
\({ }^{13}\) Judge John J. Sirica, according to Lukas (pp.412-14) "proved worthy of his courthouse nickname, ‘Maximum John.' To five of the [Watergate] defendants he gave the maximum terms permissible under the law - forty years each to Bernard Barker, Eugenio Martinez, Virgilio Gonzalez, and Frank Sturgis, thirty five years to Howard Hunt.[(March 23, 1973)] But he made those sentences 'provisional' and said he would review them after three months and after the defendants had had an opportunity to cooperate with other investigators. Although the crimes they had committed were 'sordid, despicable, and thoroughly reprehensible,' he said, they might mitigate their sentences 'if you testify openly and completely...' before the Ervin committee and the grand jury." Lukas goes on: "To warn the five men what might happen if they did not cooperate, Judge Sirica gave Gordon Liddy an extraordinarily severe sentence, this one with no provision for review." Lukas quotes a remark of Liddy's: "I really can't be too critical of John Sirica because John Sirica and I think alike. He believes that the end justifies the means. He puts that into practice. He does what is necessary.'" Lukas then notes: "Some civil libertarians made exactly the same point. Joseph L. Rauh, Jr., former national chairman of Americans for Democratic Action, has found it 'ironic that those most opposed to Mr. Nixon's lifetime espousal of ends-justifying-means should now make a hero of a judge who practiced this formula to the detriment of a fair trial for the Watergate Seven.' Chesterfield Smith, president of the American Bar Association, is 'concerned about a federal judge - no matter how worthy his motives or how much we may applaud his results - using the criminal sentencing process as a means and tool for further criminal investigation of others.' And Monroe Freedman, Dean of the law school at Hofstra University, says, 'Sirica deserves to be censured for becoming the prosecutor himself.' To such complaints, Judge Sirica calmly replied, 'I don't think we should sit up here like nincompoops. The function of a trial court is to search for the truth.'"
\({ }^{14}\) Senator Sam J. Ervin of North Carolina introduced a resolution to allocate \(\$ 500,000\) for a Senate Select Committee on Presidential Campaign Activities to investigate the Watergate break-in and related allegations, which was unanimously approved by the Senate on February 7, 1973. The televised Hearings opened on May 17, 1973, with Ervin as Chairman.
}
```

Nixon:
Peters: [yghihknow ther immediate kincer::n is is Sirica en they want thet ironed
out first. \hhueyahh • Uh,=
Nixon: =W'l wuhddih they wan'ironed out.=thet uh ukhhwhhu\bullet(\cdot) thet 'hhh==0,
Peters: =\bulletthet he won' go dih jail before the }\uparrow\mathrm{ rest }\downarrow\mathrm{ of thum. }\downarrow
(0.4)
((tchk))=
Nixon: = Oh.hhh \downarrowI\mathrm{ see. }\downarrow
Peters: hUh::=
Nixon: =If 'ee confesses
Peters:

```
\(\qquad\)
``` That's \(\underline{\text { ri }}_{\mathrm{gh}} \mathrm{ght}\).

``` (heh hh•ah)
```



```
Peters:
``` \(\qquad\)
``` Uh::m


```

Nixon: (0.3) [hmhhhhhh
Peters:

```

``` yihknow pending of uh:[m, un u]n the
Nixon: \([p f w \underline{u h h}]\)
( Ø ):
Nixon:
``` \(\qquad\)

```

Peters: $\quad=$ un the m•eeting with Judge S'rica $[\mathrm{e}-: \mathrm{n}]$
Nixon:
${ }^{\circ \circ} \downarrow\left[\mathrm{Mm}^{\circ \circ} \mathrm{w}\right]$ hich you've gotta have I

```
```

                s'po[se.
                            [
                        [·hheyah=
    51
${ }^{15}$ For the next few lines, a sound can be heard that might be ambient noise or a voice in the background.

```

```

Nixon: $\quad{ }^{17}=h h n^{\circ} \underline{A}$ wkeh ${ }^{\circ}$
(•)
Nixon: [ Su:re? ]

```

```

Nixon: $\quad=\mathrm{Mmhm}$ ?
(0.2)
(Peters): $\quad \mathrm{p} \cdot h \underline{a} h h \bullet$
Peters: ( ) but thet's:: (•) gotta be very
Nixon: $\quad(L \underline{e t}[$ 's pley $<)] \cdot p \cdot t$
Peters:

```
\(\qquad\)
```

                            [ caref'ly ] do:ne he ez he’s out tuh \(\mathrm{bl}_{\mathrm{e}}\) ast us all \(\uparrow\) pub \(\downarrow\) licly.
    Nixon:
Peters:

```

```

${ }^{\circ \circ}((\text { swallow }))^{\circ \circ} \mathrm{khh}[\cdot \mathrm{plp}$

```

```

[(hop a[wdin aw) ]
[Oh it=Siric]a. hhéRi[ght.

```

```

([Yah)
(0.2)
Peters: $\quad \cdot \underline{f h h h h} h \cdot \mathrm{Uh}::(\cdot) h \bullet e n$ then $u h, h(\cdot)$ yuhknow vuh [wi'll be: then wi'll take $=$
Nixon:
[hmhhhhh
Peters:

``` \(\qquad\)
``` \(=u p\) thė \((\cdot)\) [uh (on thè) Erwin (i••ssue)
```



```
[•pt hmhh hmhh
Nixon:
```



```
(0.6)
Nixon:
(Peters)
```



```
\(=\bullet\) ( )
Nixon: (0.6) \({ }^{\circ} \cdot \mathrm{heyuh} h m h h^{\circ \circ}\)
```

[^2]Nixon: $\qquad$ 18 -pt That doesn't seem dih be (the rih)=major $\underline{\text { issue }} \downarrow$ thou:gh $h u h=h \underline{u} h$ prub'l $\underline{I}$ kin see: thet the main thing is Sirica he's concerned [a b out.]

Peters:


Nixon: $[h m h h] h=$

Nixon: $\quad=\quad$ ure c'ss uh: er Ervin thing'll become moot 'n my 'pinion, $h[h$
Peters:
[No:w, ih-thē other concern we hev on that eessue is uhm yihknow $h_{e} \underline{o} w$ we cha:rge,
(0.7)

Nixon:
Peters:

Peters: -(How we) charge uh::,hmh[h [in terms of uhm

Nixon:

(0.2)

Peters: en. naming the indivijools.
(0.2)

Peters: Uh: $\operatorname{hh}[\mathrm{h} \bullet \uparrow \underline{O}$ ne a'the things thet conc•erniz us eh: is we don't feel like $=$
Nixon: $\quad[h m h h$

(0.2)

Peters: 19
ez unindIdee $\mathrm{c}_{\mathrm{e}} \underline{O}$ conspiraters it this $\uparrow p o \underline{i}: n t$,
(0.2)

Peters: $\quad \underline{U h}:$ but wi'r afrai ${ }_{\text {ee }} \mathrm{d} \uparrow$ not $\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{e}} \mathrm{o} \downarrow:$ :
(0.3)

[^3]```
Peters: (yow) if we \uparrowdon't ennit gits aou:t (`) dje know it's gunnuh look like mbig
    20
        `cover }\downarrow\mathrm{ up again }\downarrow\cdothu[
            [
                                    [`m M [h.
                                    [·huhhh \bulletUh: so we're (0.2) \bullet(we're) tryina
wrestle ar way through tha[t uh
```



```
[hmhhh \(\cdot h n h h y e h ~ \cdot p l p!~ I t ' s ~ w h e t h e r ~ y o u ~ i n d i c t ~ u h ~\)
21 Haldeman en \(\sim\) : uh \(\cdot h u h h\) Ehrlichmin alo::ng with the others \(\underline{u} h ? h m h[h\)
```



``` [Well
we'd name 'im it thís poị:nt only iz unindIdee cōc'n \(\uparrow\) spirad \(_{\text {gh }}\) ers but \(\underline{a n y b u d d y ~ o o z ~ n a: m e d ~ i z ~ i n ~ u n i n d I d e e ~ c o c o n s p i r a t e r ~ i n ~ t h a t ~ ' n d i c t m e n t ~} \cdot \mathrm{hhhh}\) i:s in all pro'bility [gonna be indicted layder aw::n.
\[
[
\]
Nixon: \(\quad \downarrow m g h[h \bullet \underline{h m}\) ['n so you]'ve g]otta make a determination iz [tuh whether]
Peters:
[ [ ] ]
```



```
[hhh [ \(\underline{A}\) n d \(](\mathrm{a})]\)
[secondar]y
issue is \({ }^{h}\) of course iv we're gonna have en[ough corrobor \(\uparrow\) āshin tuh make= [
[hmhhh
Peters: \(\quad=\) those \(\uparrow \underline{\text { statements } . ~} h \cdot h h[h h\)
Nixon:
Peters:
Nixon:
[That statement would be made uh \(\downarrow:::\) muh-ey \(h\) hėt-lė- ez \(\underline{I}\) understand it if: uh \({ }^{\circ} \cdot h u h h^{\circ}(0.2)^{\circ} \cdot\) (id) you were telling me if uh \({ }^{\circ}\left[h m h h \cdot(0.3)^{\circ} \cdot h \underline{u}:: u h^{\circ}\right]\)
```


Peters: •(in open) cou:rt,
${ }^{20}$ Not available whether Peterson cuts off his inbreath, or the pop! renders its continuation inaudible. It sounds as if he cuts off and then restarts.
${ }^{21}$ The wavy symbol in "en $\sim$ :d" indicates a quaver.

```

Nixon: •be made'n open \(c^{\text {h ourt en yu- }}{ }^{\text {h }}\) en then you would make a statemint?=thet the other:: s you'd name them et that \({ }^{\circ}\) time \({ }^{\circ}{ }^{\circ} h m[h h\)


Peters:
[We: ll w:ē- foof-uh \(\mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{a}} \mathrm{o}\) we
22 wouldn' do it'n th \({ }_{a} \underline{o s e}\) terns wi'd simply: dị- eh do it'n terms of speedeeng the fac's tuh the \(\uparrow\) court.

Nixon: \(\quad \cdot \mathrm{t} \cdot \mathrm{p} \cdot \mathrm{t} \cdot h u h h \underline{h} \cdot \mathrm{p}[\mathrm{tl}\)
(Peters):


Nixon: \(\quad\) That would be done, public \(\downarrow\) ly. \(=\) Wouldju \(\downarrow\) name Mitchell then \({ }^{\circ}\) too \({ }^{\circ}\) ? \(=\)
Peters: \(\quad=\) Well wi'd \({ }^{\circ} \uparrow h \underline{a f} \downarrow t_{e}{ }^{0} .^{\circ}\)
(0.3)

Peters: Yuhs[e e \(] \mathrm{th}\) e \(]\)
Nixon: \(\quad[B ' t\) tha \(]\) t would \(a] 11=\)
Peters: \(\quad{ }^{23} \quad=\uparrow\) problem \(\underset{\sim}{i} \downarrow:: \mathrm{s} \cdot \mathrm{h}[\mathrm{h}\)
Nixon:

Peters:
[That's right. Once we
```

Nixon:

```

``` do \(\left[\downarrow t_{\text {gh }}\right.\) at uh \(\cdot \mathrm{hh}\) or even eef we \(\uparrow \uparrow\) don't. \(h\)
```



```
(0.6)
[hmhh
(•)
```

Peters: $\qquad$

```
-hu:hh \(u \cdot h(\cdot)\) Sirica's ha:bit in custom in eez cert'nly gonna do it'n this \(\downarrow\) ca:se \(\cdot \mathrm{hhh}\) -(to in)terroga:te the defendant himself.
Nixon: \(\quad\) pltp Righ \(\underline{i}_{\text {ght }}\)
Peters: \(\quad\) hhh En ef he in[terrogih]
Nixon: \(\quad>[\) A defendan \(] t\) who \(<\) pleads guil \(\downarrow d y .=\)
```

49
${ }^{22}$ The first occurrence of 'terms' is pronounced "terns", as shown. The second is pronounced "terms".
${ }^{23}$ Again (cf p.15, fn 15), it sounds as if Petersen cuts off his inbreath, but it may be that the pop! is rendering the continuing inbreath inaudible.

```
Nikxon: }\quad=hhu[
Peters: [That's ri\underline{i}:ght. Ef \uparrow\underline{he interrogates Magruder, (0.3) hhhh \bulletan' breengs}
24 a}\mp@subsup{}{\textrm{a}}{0
    [
Nixon: [hhmh
(`)
Nixon: 
Peters:
```

$\qquad$

``` [An' we: heven' mentioned um er includid um in thé, \(h=\)
    I
        =hmhh
(Nixon):
```

        'p}
    Peters:

```
\(\qquad\)
``` \(=c \cdot o n s p i r a c y\) cha:gh rge.
Nixon: Ri'.
Peters: \(\quad\) hhhh \(\bullet(E n d)\) then wi'r all gonna have a black eye
Nixon: plk İ: getcher }\downarrow\mathrm{ poin[t.
Peters: [.heyah S\bulleto these er the things wi'r tryin' duh work aout=
Nixon: 25 =`hmhhh hm-hm-hm-hmh'0 heh-huh \cdothehhh Yeev got quite a plate full, you
        prob'ly won' get it tihmorruh then hooyuh.[hmh
                            [
Peters:
                                    [·hhh I doubt it, h I dou`(bt it)
Nixon: Mm:hm},
Nixon: \(\quad\) pagh \(\bullet\) And uh: what about Dean \(\downarrow\) now in: uh \(\downarrow\) his case you've uh \(\cdot\) hhh still negotating uh,=
Peters: \(\quad=\) Uh we:ll, (•) we're still tyin' dow:n facts whith heeeum we wanna git ez much ez we ke:n.

\footnotetext{
49
\({ }^{24}\) In standard orthography "faks" would be shown as "facts". It is pronounced as such at line 45, below.
\({ }^{25}\) The soft, pulsed outbreaths "o \(h m h h h h m-h m-h \underline{m}-h m h^{\circ}\) " are not laughter
\({ }^{26}\) In standard orthography, "hooyuh" would be shown as "will you".
}
```

Nixon:
Peters:
Nixon:
-Mm`h[m
[
[(Uhm uhn)\bullet(.) N[ow ]
[In ba]sically with hi:m: yer=

```

```

    fh himmunity right?
    Peters: Nuh tuh make the decision yes sir
(0.2)
Nixon:

``` \(\qquad\)
``` - \(\mathrm{Mm}^{\circ}{ }^{\mathrm{h}} \underline{\mathrm{m}}^{\circ}\)
                            ((tchk tak)) (`)
Nixon:
(0.7)
((tchk tak)) (•)
Nixon:
``` \(\qquad\)
``` 'pends on how much he tells \(\downarrow\) zhu is that it, \(h n\)
Peters: -That's correct en hé en how much iv it 'n more th'n that how much'f it we kin corroborate. \(h\)
Nixon:
``` \(\qquad\)
``` -Hm.
( Ø): (1.4) \({ }^{\circ} \mathrm{h} h \underline{m h h} h \underline{m h} h m m^{\circ \circ}=\)
Nixon:
``` \(\qquad\)
``` \(h \cdot \mathrm{I}^{\circ}\) see: \({ }^{\circ} h m h h^{\circ} \downarrow \mathrm{hmh}{ }^{\circ}=\)
51
\({ }^{27}\) With a gun to my head, I'd standard-orthographize "beedeeb" as "beat him"
```

```
Nixon: = =
```



```
                            [((twk))
Nixon: =with }\downarrow\mathrm{ yuh [then.] }
Peters: [ịYes ]si:r,=
Nixon: }\quad=\cdot\mp@code{plk}\mp@subsup{}{}{\circ\circ}\cdot\mp@subsup{\textrm{hmh}}{}{\circ\circ}\cdot\textrm{p}\mathrm{ I guess we'd do that too I }\downarrow\mathrm{ s'po:[ze it's }\downarrow]h\cdot
```



```
                                    [Indeed] so.=
```



```
28 thē uh faks in:: eh:z (0.2) You'll hear Shtrahn dihmorrow perheps?hmhhh
Peters: \quadt\cdothuhh U\bulleth yes. We ixp\bulletect ee'll be in:
Nixon: }\quad\downarrow\mp@subsup{\textrm{M}}{\textrm{gh}}{}\mp@subsup{\textrm{mh}}{\textrm{gh}}{}\textrm{m}
Peters: =him: duh c'min [with iz lawy]er aga[in
Nixon: [ p hhh'hh ] [En ar sekkint point is thet uh: (0.7)
-thet uh\downarrow::: (0.2) thet uh\downarrow::: (0.3) }\mp@subsup{}{}{\circ}mthet '0 (0.2) Lemme see abaht the thė-thė
29 n:jih- the nyn}\mp@subsup{n}{}{\textrm{t}}\mathrm{ teenth you say }\uparrow\mathrm{ Dean say:s thet }\downarrow\mathrm{ uh eh-no uhr: ·huh}\cdoth\underline{e}h
Peters: (Th\bulletet) on the nineteen[th
Nixon: [\downarrowYah.hn[hh
Peters: [thet L:iddy confess' tih Dea:n }\mp@subsup{}{}{t
Nixon: \(\quad \cdot(\) 'N \()\) Dean says that \(h\)
Peters: Dean says \({ }^{\circ}\) that, \(h^{\circ}\)
Nixon: -Thet Liddy confess’ tuh him, en thet \(h \underline{\text { he }}\) told Ehrlich \(\downarrow\) man. \(h=\)
Peters: \(\quad=\) Theh he told Ehrlich \(\downarrow\) man

\footnotetext{
46
\({ }^{28}\) Gordon Creighton Strachan is described in the preface to The Watergate Hearings ( p .50 ) as having "been the conduit between Mitchell/Magruder on the one hand and Haldeman/Nixon on the other." Strachan was one of Haldeman's assistants and 'ticklers'-- putting pressure on subordinates to make sure things got done. He received transcripts of materials recorded in an earlier Watergate break-in. Everyone who writes about Watergate takes it that if Strachan knew, Haldeman knew.
\({ }^{29}\) See pp.8-9 re "Liddy confessed to Dean"
}
```

Nixon: M
(1.0)
Nixon: Mmhm:.hmhh
(1.0)
Nixon: }\quad\textrm{p}(\textrm{Uh})\mathrm{ thet's a ne:w fact isn' it?hmh
Peters: Uh:: it's et leas:t ih Yes sii:r.
Nixon: Mmh[m
[
[En::d uh ·huhh it could• Thet uh (1.9) that's a t`erribly imp 'rortant fact I         thi\underline{i}:nk (0.2) uh b\underline{e}\bulletcause (of) no disclosure ma:de by \underline{e}ither one of }\downarrow\mp@subsup{u}{gh}{}m\mathrm{ . Peters: · p hu}[h         [ Nixon: [•Yih Peters: }\quad\mathrm{ -huhhhh                     (0.3) Nixon: \bullet( ) nēeither Dean or uh hhh 'plp ' Ehrlichman. }\mp@subsup{}{}{\circ Peters: Yes [sir, Nixon: © [hh \cdothh`
(0.6)
Nixon: Hm:
Nixon: ${ }^{30} \quad$ plp $\mathrm{W} h \bullet(\quad)$ Dea_: say this:.
Peters: $\quad \mathrm{Mm}: \bullet[(\quad)$
Nixon: [(But) [Dea:n]
$\left[\begin{array}{ll}{[( } & ]\end{array}\right]$ [told m:e] this e:vening

```

```

[ih-Dee-] ehh
(0.2)

```

\footnotetext{
51
\({ }^{30}\) Given Petersen's response, Nixon is probably asking "When did....".
}
```

```
Nixon: h
```

```
```

Nixon: h

```
Nixon: \(\mathrm{Hm} \bullet\)
Nixon: \(\quad h \mathrm{Hmhm}\) ? \(h m h h\)
Nixon: \(\quad h m h h h\)
(•)
Nixon: \(\quad \quad\) plk \({ }^{\circ 0} \cdot h h \cdot h h^{\circ 0} h\) En lett's \(\downarrow\) see thē \(\downarrow(\cdot)\) Ness see thet's (0.2) ụJ•une:: the
```

```
        (0.2)
```

        (0.2)
    Peters: è-I Igh Igh
Peters: è-I Igh Igh
Nixon: [Yeh Dea:n]
Nixon: [Yeh Dea:n]
Peters: [(interviewed] im )]
Peters: [(interviewed] im )]
Nixon: [Yih see the point] ith Dean didn]'t- (·) ' Dean didn' tell me }\downarrow\mathrm{ that. That's
Nixon: [Yih see the point] ith Dean didn]'t- (·) ' Dean didn' tell me }\downarrow\mathrm{ that. That's
a' thing thet discourages me.hh }\cdot(huhh)\cdothyimh
a' thing thet discourages me.hh }\cdot(huhh)\cdothyimh
Peters: = p``huhh Well, ụ mean Mi\underline{ster Presiden' we haftuh remember thet de:t [39.0]} Peters: = p``huhh Well, ụ mean Mister Presiden' we haftuh remember thet de:t [39.0]
uh wí'r dihbriefeeng heem awn what's: transpired over the la lavst eighteen
uh wí'r dihbriefeeng heem awn what's: transpired over the la lavst eighteen
mu:nts
mu:nts
(0.2)
(0.2)
Nixon: \quad plk •I\downarrow\mathrm{ see=}
Nixon: \quad plk •I\downarrow\mathrm{ see=}
Peters: =It's very diffincult (·) yihknow duh get it all: in,
Peters: =It's very diffincult (·) yihknow duh get it all: in,
(`)     (`)
Nixon: -I know I'm no[t talking about you] but I'm talk]ing about what 'ee didn'=
Nixon: -I know I'm no[t talking about you] but I'm talk]ing about what 'ee didn'=
Peters: [( nower too ]wahl we)]
Peters: [( nower too ]wahl we)]
Nixon: =tell me yih see,
Nixon: =tell me yih see,
Peters: Ye=s }\mp@subsup{}{}{\circ0}[I see, , © O
Peters: Ye=s }\mp@subsup{}{}{\circ0}[I see, , © O
Nixon: [ [ }\mp@subsup{\mp@code{OMhh}}{}{\circ0}\mathrm{ (So) thet's a key: fact thet 'ee shoulda tol' me isn'it

```
Nixon: [ [ }\mp@subsup{\mp@code{OMhh}}{}{\circ0}\mathrm{ (So) thet's a key: fact thet 'ee shoulda tol' me isn'it
```




```
Nixon: nyntteenth. }\mp@subsup{}{}{\circ0}\cdothuh\cdoth\underline{e}h\mp@subsup{h}{}{\circ0}\bulletAnd uh:mhh hon thē uh (0.2) 'p \bullet(thė) (0.2
            ụHaldeman thing wudjih hev there agai:n so I get that'n my myn,
            ((tchhk))
Peters:
    |
```



```
-Mmh自gh}[\textrm{m
    (([tchk))
    (0.3)
    |
Nixon:
```

$\qquad$

``` \({ }^{31}\) hheh \(h \cdot h e h h\) !
                                    ((thk))
Peters: }\quad32 \circ Mh. ' Lemme kuh back ov'r my notes (ull::) 'hhh Thė- the princib'l thin:g
thed \underline{I}}\mathrm{ wundid duh point out tih you awn Haldimin is [uh thet thet Dean went=
Nixon:
                                    [*OUhyeh }\mp@subsup{}{}{\circ0
Peters: =tuh Haldem'nih git a'thority dih go tuh Kalmba ghk 
                (0.2)
Nixon:
```

$\qquad$

```
                                    Oh yes:. Yes yes. That wiz it.=
                                    =.iheyuhh
                                    (0.4)
Peters:
Nixon:
```

$\qquad$



```
[After-When Mitchell:. Mitchell told 'im dih go duh Haldemanh
Peters: (0.5) ·hhh h\bullet( )[Mitchell tol:d Dean simply dih activate K K}\mp@subsup{}{~}{\textrm{h}}\mathrm{ almbak tih heandle=
Nixon:
```





```
[Right?
Peters: =the money
((tchk[tk))
[
Nixon: [I \(\downarrow\) see.
Peters: Uh: Dea:n then went tuh Hal:demin, \(t \cdot i h[(\) give \()\) autho \(] r i t y ~ d i h ~ K a h m b a k=~\) Nixon: [ Right]
```

[^4]```
Peters: }\quad=\mp@subsup{}{gn}{}<\underline{uhh}\mathrm{ tih conta[ct
Nixon:
= gn <uh tih conta[\mp@subsup{ct}{\underline{u}}{M}
(0.4) }\mp@subsup{}{}{\circ}((whhht)\mp@subsup{)}{}{\circ
Nixon: ·p!
Peters: 33 0( )n:d uh th:ere=efter Ka:lmbak took care a' the money.=
Nixon: }\quad=\mp@subsup{}{}{\circ0}hmhh\mp@subsup{}{}{\circ0}\bullet * '00m-h\underline{m}\mp@subsup{}{}{\circ0
Peters: ·hhhh
    00}((t\underline{chkuh))}\mp@subsup{)}{}{00
Peters: }\quad\underline{hh}N\cdotow (the) \\underline{details awn the [three hunder'n fifty th }\mp@subsup{\bullet}{a}{}\mathrm{ ous'n dollars which=
Nixon: [00}hmhh\mp@subsup{}{}{\circ\circ
Peters: }\quad=\textrm{uh}\cdot\underline{\textrm{hh}}[\underline{\textrm{h}
(([dup dup))
Nixon:
pf:fund }\downarrowI\mathrm{ don'kno[:w I }\downarrow\mathrm{ don'know how]it all: went]
    [}[\begin{array}{l}{Y es. (\begin{array}{lll}{\mathrm{ e may ] iv develo]ped.)h}}\end{array}]}
Peters
Nixon: •I }\downarrow\mathrm{ see.
(·)
Nixon: Yih 'aven'[got tha[t (worked)]
Peters: [(But) [ tha:t i]z iz related to us ez mohney ( ) over which
·hhhh eh-Haldeman exer[cised contro}:1:hh h\bulletEn that mohney w'z delivered
```



```
                                    [((tswshh))
Peters: =to LaRue::
(`)
Peters: Tọ be u
(0.2) ((tk))
Nixon: ·plk=
5 1
33 The side-dotted [1] in "Kal:lmbak" indicates a hearable 'l', in contrast, e.g., to p. }23\mathrm{ line 47's "Kahmbak".
```

```
```

Peters: =`ụ(least) a porsh'n ọf it

```
```

Peters: =`ụ(least) a porsh'n ọf it                                     (0.4)                                     (0.4) Nixon: Nixon: | | ( Ø ): | `००uhuyhh\circ\circ

```
```

( Ø ): | `००uhuyhh\circ\circ

```
```

$\qquad$ Suh-uh- some of it. Right.
8
9
10
$\qquad$ $\cdot$ plk W•(ell) I thin:k I think $h$ Haldem'n w'd uh:: would, would ${ }^{\text {t}}$ say that's ${ }^{\circ}$ true. ${ }^{\circ}$

## (0.3)

Peters: $\quad{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{Mmhm},{ }^{\circ}$
(0.3)

Nixon: $\quad \mathrm{pYih}^{\circ}{ }^{\mathrm{k}} \mathrm{know}^{\circ}$
(0.3)

Nixon: $\qquad$ -I think he would. $=$ I mean I don' kno:w but wi'll see
( Ø ): (0.5) ${ }^{\circ \circ} k h \underline{h} h n^{\circ \circ}$
Nixon: $\qquad$ ${ }^{34}$ You c'd< (0.2) You: should ask uh I guess Kahm[bahk

Peters:
[Well the >point'v it< is it
went tuh LuhRue. $=$ insteada goin' duh the c'mmittee directly
(0.6)

Nixon: $\quad{ }^{\circ} \downarrow \mathrm{Mm}_{\mathrm{h}} \underline{\mathrm{m}} \downarrow^{\circ}$
(1.1)

Nixon: $\quad \underline{\text { hh }} \cdot \mathrm{t} \cdot \mathrm{hh}$

Peters: A•n::d Haldemin: uh An' LuhRue: uh huhh uh:: apparen'ly deed not give a receipt ${ }^{\circ} \cdot h^{\circ}$
(0.3)
((tk [kk))

[(hm)

[Hawldemin had requestid it.

```
51
\({ }^{34}\) "You c'd<" sounds like Nixon is stopping short, but it may be a pop! in the recording.
```

(•)
Nixon: $\quad$ Mmhm? $\cdot t \cdot h h \cdot(B ’ t \quad),(0.2)$ I think
((tchk pip pip))

Nixon: $\quad \underset{\mathrm{i}}{\mathrm{t}} \mathrm{he} \overline{\mathrm{e}}$ uhhmhh ih$\bullet d j$ this uh bit thet thē $\cdot \mathrm{hhh} \bullet$ LaRue: $(\cdot)$ wạs thē uh: wạs a member of ${ }^{\text {w was }}$ et leas' thē ( 0.8 ) member'v the f'nance $\mathrm{c}_{\mathrm{h}}$ ommittee I think that w 'z thè $(p)$ -the point thet uh (0.6) •(thet I ask') Haldem'n abaht I siz who'd this money go to in 'ee said uh went t'La Rue? La Rue wiz a member of the committee

Peters:

[Is 'at correct?=er d'you know tha[t.
$\left[\cdot\right.$ khh $\mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{e}}$ on't know that. $\underline{\mathrm{A}} \mathrm{l}[1 \mathrm{I}$ know is $=$ [(you wyt)

Nixon: [eh might be]

Peters: $\qquad$ [thet 'ee wor]ked fer John Mitchell<
(1.1) ((thk khak $(\cdot)$ pthup))

Peters: $\qquad$ ${ }^{36}$ Ah'll check awn that though

Nixon: Went tuh Mitchell?
Peters: $\quad \cdot \mathrm{hhhh} \cdot \mathrm{I}$ no I say all I know is luu- LaRue: worked fer Mitchell.

Peters: Uh::

Nixon: I think he wer- Yah. I think he wiz uh: ụworked fer st- worked fer thē uh
finance c'mmittee b't $\underline{I}$ don'know you- you oughta check that ou[:t
[I wi-ill,
Peters: $\qquad$
$h m h h$
(0.7)
((thk))
48
${ }^{35}$ Maurice H. Stans was chief of the Nixon finance committee in the 1972 re-election campaign that raised more than $\$ 60$ million dollars for President Nixon -- $\$ 22$ million of it in secret contributions.(The Presidential Transcripts, 'Names that figure in the transcripts', p.xxxix).
${ }^{36}$ Petersen's voice is a bit muffled here. He may have shifted his head, maybe making a note?

Nixon: $\qquad$ ${ }^{\circ} \cdot \mathrm{k} \cdot h h^{\circ} \mathrm{Oka}$ :y then: the main thing I need iv course is uh $\cdot \mathrm{hh} \cdot($ (the) thing on thē uhhh Well before yu- ( $\cdot$ ) Wait $(\cdot)$ Yer not g'nna have anything dihmorrow on put'n'm in $\mathrm{c}_{\mathrm{h}} \underline{\mathrm{ourt}}$ so I don't $\operatorname{hmhmh}(0.6) \cdot(n \underline{i} h)=$

Peters: $\qquad$ =ụdon't think so:
((gm-mkm))

Nixon: $\qquad$ Haftih jes' tihmorrow jus: continue=develop the evidence

Peters: Yes sir.

Nixon: $\left.\quad{ }^{\circ} \cdot \mathrm{k}^{0} \cdot \mathrm{t} \cdot \mathrm{hh} h \mathrm{nah}\right) \cdot$ think the:refore no: statement w'd be in order.hmhh 't thè presen' time we: (0.2) [(we) decided against one duhday it jus' didn'= [ (([k-t́ㄴ))

Nixon: $\qquad$ =seem dih be:,
(•)

Nixon: (0.8)
${ }^{\circ 0} \cdot h m h h^{\circ \circ}$

Peters:

Nixon: $\qquad$ nYep ${ }^{\circ} \underline{u}^{\circ}$ yueh I thought it would uh::: $\downarrow$ : it might (•) $\underline{I}$ jist ụhad dih make my own determ'nation $\underline{I}$ thought it wou:ld jeopardize $\cdot \mathrm{hhhh}[(0.4)]$ possibly=
 $(([$ tink $]))$

Nixon: $\quad=$ the pro:s'cution yih know, $h h$ Who know $[\mathrm{s}, h h$

Peters:
questions (then of a:n[swers)

[That's right I mean:: we don' wanna say anything intil:
yih like- •there's been a big break in the case [then everybọ[dy $\begin{array}{ll}\mathrm{d} & \mathrm{starts}] \\ {[ } & \end{array}$
$[\underline{h h h h h} \quad[$ Well ah tillyih ofne
thing Mistuh Pres'dent thet you oughta kno:w

Nixon: pYah.=

Peters: $=\cdot h h \underline{I}$ 'adda caw: 11 from Ron Austro ' $v$ thē ul- L.A. Ti:mes. $=$

```
```

Nixon:

```
```

```
Nixon:
```

$\qquad$

```
\(=\mathrm{Mmh} \underline{\underline{m}}\) ?
```

$=\mathrm{Mmh} \underline{\underline{m}}$ ?
((klk-[kuh))
((klk-[kuh))
Peters:

```
Peters:
```



``` [•h Who:'s a decent may n en \(\mathrm{a}(r)(0 .[7)\) reasonably good acquaint'nce:.= (([mrw))
Peters: \(\quad=\cdot \mathrm{h} \cdot(\mathrm{I})-\underline{I}\) think a: a rep \({ }^{\mathrm{h}}{ }^{\text {orter }}\) 'v character if there are any, \(\cdot \mathrm{hh}=\)
Peters: \(\quad=\cdot \mathrm{h} \cdot(\mathrm{I})-\underline{I}\) think a: a rep \({ }^{\mathrm{h}}{ }^{\text {orter }}\) 'v character if there are any, \(\cdot \mathrm{hh}=\)
Nixon: \(\quad=s h\) heh hah ha,[ah
Nixon: \(\quad=s h\) heh hah ha,[ah
                            [
                            [
Peters: \(\quad\) uhh:m \(\cdot \mathrm{t} \cdot \mathrm{hhh} \cdot \mathrm{uh}:\) ạnd \(\uparrow\) he: said \(\cdot \mathrm{h}\) thet they hed rep \({ }^{\mathrm{h}} \underline{o r t s}^{\text {outta }}\)
Peters: \(\quad\) uhh:m \(\cdot \mathrm{t} \cdot \mathrm{hhh} \cdot \mathrm{uh}:\) ạnd \(\uparrow\) he: said \(\cdot \mathrm{h}\) thet they hed rep \({ }^{\mathrm{h}} \underline{o r t s}^{\text {outta }}\)
        the White \(\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{a}}\) ou:se thet \((0 .[4)\) thet uh:: •huhhh \((\cdot) \cdot\) Let me use hee:z \(\downarrow\) wo:r::ds. \(=\)
```

        the White \(\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{a}}\) ou:se thet \((0 .[4)\) thet uh:: •huhhh \((\cdot) \cdot\) Let me use hee:z \(\downarrow\) wo:r::ds. \(=\)
    ```

```

                            (([mrk))
    ```
                            (([mrk))
Peters: \(\quad=\cdot h u h h \bullet \underline{u h}(\cdot)\) thạt \(u h::\) ahvih \(\uparrow\) two er three people over=the White Họuse were
Peters: \(\quad=\cdot h u h h \bullet \underline{u h}(\cdot)\) thạt \(u h::\) ahvih \(\uparrow\) two er three people over=the White Họuse were
gunnuh be thrown duh the woo:Ives.
gunnuh be thrown duh the woo:Ives.
(0.3)
(0.3)
Nixon: •Hㅡ․
Nixon: •Hㅡ․
Peters: In his tuu- en:-: •(is) there anything to it 'n I sạid there's nodn anything I c'n
Peters: In his tuu- en:-: •(is) there anything to it 'n I sạid there's nodn anything I c'n
\(\frac{\square}{1}\)
\(\frac{\square}{1}\)
        tell you ' \({ }^{\prime}{ }_{\text {ghout }} \mathrm{i}_{\text {gh }} \mathrm{t}\).
        tell you ' \({ }^{\prime}{ }_{\text {ghout }} \mathrm{i}_{\text {gh }} \mathrm{t}\).
        ((tk tk))
        ((tk tk))
Nixon:
```

Nixon:

```
\(\qquad\)
``` \(\left.\mathrm{R}_{\underline{\mathrm{i}}}^{\mathrm{i}}{ }^{\mathrm{h}} \mathrm{h}\right)\) ight. \(h[\cdot h u h\)
Peters:
Peters:
                                    [Uh:: I jis(•)tuh cain’t \(\uparrow\) say anything \(\downarrow\) ab \(_{\text {gh }}\) out \(\mathrm{i}_{g h}\) t. o [ne way]
                                    [Uh:: I jis(•)tuh cain’t \(\uparrow\) say anything \(\downarrow\) ab \(_{\text {gh }}\) out \(\mathrm{i}_{g h}\) t. o [ne way]
                                    [ ] \(\quad\) =
                                    [ ] \(\quad\) =
                                    [Thet's ]
                                    [Thet's ]
Nixon: \(\quad[\) righ \(t\).
Nixon: \(\quad[\) righ \(t\).
Peters:
Peters:
Nixon:
Nixon:
=
=
    [er anow]ther I don' wunnuh c'nfirm in I don' wunnuh den[y it.
    [er anow]ther I don' wunnuh c'nfirm in I don' wunnuh den[y it.
                            [.t
                            [.t
Nixon: \(\quad\) So ther prab'ly write a story ghun th \(_{\text {a }}\) at. [hunyuh]
Nixon: \(\quad\) So ther prab'ly write a story ghun th \(_{\text {a }}\) at. [hunyuh]
Peters:
Peters:
                                    (•)
                                    (•)
Peters:
```

```
Peters:
```

```
\(\qquad\)
``` -(uh) B't I: mention it only becau \(\mathbf{w}_{w}\) z it's uh
\(\qquad\)
\(\qquad\)

```

Nixon:

```

```

Nixon: tell you ${ }^{\prime} b_{g h}$ Out $i_{\text {gh }} t$.
((tk tk))

```

```

[Thet's]
Nixon: $\quad[\mathrm{r} \underline{\mathrm{ig}} \mathrm{h} t$.
Peters:
Nixon:
So ther prab'ly write a story ghun th ${ }_{h}$ a:t.[ [hunyuh]
(.)
Peters:

```

```

(0.6) $\quad((t \mathrm{k}))=$

```
```

                            [•h Who:'s a decent mayn en \(\mathrm{a}(r)\) (0.[7) reasonably good acquaint'nce:. \(=\)
    ```
                            [•h Who:'s a decent mayn en \(\mathrm{a}(r)\) (0.[7) reasonably good acquaint'nce:. \(=\)
                                    (([mrw))
```

                                    (([mrw))
    ```
```

1

```

2
3
4
```

Nixon:

``` \(\qquad\)
``` tsuh dits:: beginning duh::
( Ø ):
(0.3) \(m g h g h \underline{m}=\)
Nixon:
``` \(\qquad\)
``` = git out? \(=\) Yah. \(=\)
Peters: \(\quad=I t\) 's beginnin' duh percolate ((tk-k))
Nixon: Must'v come: fr'm wћe:re=Thē uh \(h m\) U.S. Attorney's office yuh thin \([k\) ?
Peters:
[ \([\) hh \(u\) hhhh
Peters:
``` \(\qquad\)
``` \(h h \mathrm{I} \bullet \uparrow\) doubt it becạu:se \(\underline{\mathrm{I}} h\) hed no:t \(\mathrm{t}^{\mathrm{h}} \underline{\mathrm{ol}}: \mathrm{d} \downarrow\) the:m.
Nixon:
\({ }^{\circ 0}\) wuhhh \({ }^{\circ 0}\)
\(((h h \bullet \underline{n} h))\)
\({ }^{\circ}((\text { tk-tk }))^{\circ}\)
(0.4)
Peters:

``` So unl•ess they drew their own cuunjecture irreh: made their own c'njecter,
\({ }^{\circ}((\text { rustling noises }))^{\circ}\)
((tk))
[43.0]
Nixon:
``` \(\qquad\)
``` -The U.S. Attorney? \(h\)
(•)
(Peters):
Nixon:
Mmh́• \(=\) Well b't they uh \(h m \bullet \downarrow\) they were thinking in terms of thē, \(h\)
Haldem'n \(\downarrow\) Ehrlichm'n thing'n Dea:n. \(h \underline{I} \downarrow\) spoze.
```


## (•)

```
Nixon: \(\quad h m h h h \cdot p \cdot t \underline{t} h\)
Peters: Well, [yihknow I: (m[ean) uh]
Nixon:
```



```
Peters: \(\quad \cdot \mathrm{I}\left(\mathrm{d}^{\prime}\right)\) know wuhd'ee wiz thinkin' abou:t en [ \(\underline{I}\) (don'know-)]
[ ]
[Where diz eh-] Where diz the
```

```
Nixon: }\quad\mp@subsup{\underline{C}}{}{\textrm{h}}\mathrm{ ols'n thing come in agin: I:(d) I gunnuh git that }\downarrow\textrm{w}'n: do[:wn tuh\downarrow
Peters:
    [Where di]z what
        thing c'm in?
        ((tk-[k))
```



```
                            [Col\downarrows'n
Nixon:
Peters: }\mp@subsup{}{}{37}\quad\cdot\textrm{hhhh}u\textrm{h}\bulletOh \cdothh \uparrow\underline{Colsi:n uh:m (\cdot) uh: w'z p}\mp@subsup{}{}{\textrm{h}}\underline{\underline{izz}
(0.3)
Peters: w
c'a
Nixon: •>OOh I get it. Fine.< hokay.
(*)
Nixon: So you('ll) c'\underline{all him too.}
Peters: Mm yessir=
Nixon: =tlk urRight.
                                    (0.4)
Nixon: \quadtch·hhh •\underline{Oka:y well if enning come:s up call me ev'n if iss a'middle a'the}\\mp@code{l}
            night ok`ay?
Peters: I will indee:[d
                            [
Nixon: [hhThhha:nk you<
Peters: \underline{A'right Mister Pres'n thank you.}
        ((tk-k vww:::p))
            (5.7) ((dead tape))
Voice: This c'nclu:des the abuse, of gover'mint p hower segmints (0.2) fer
        co:nversaysh'n number thirty eight ( () dash ( ) eighdy two.

\footnotetext{
47
\({ }^{37}\) In standard orthography "prizzin" would be shown as 'present'.
}```


[^0]:    42
    ${ }^{1}$ In standard orthograph, " $\uparrow$ Oh::: she(h)rv w’r..." would be shown as " $\uparrow \mathrm{Oh}:::$ su(h)re we're-"
    ${ }^{2}$ The bullet • indicates a 'pop!' in the recording.
    ${ }^{3}$ In standard orthography, "cwalling right awnna noes" would be shown as "calling right on the nose"

[^1]:    45
    ${ }^{10}$ The break-in was discovered in the early morning hours of Saturday, June $17^{\text {th }}$, 1972. Lukas recounts (p.299)
    "At the White House that Monday, June 19, with the President and Haldeman still in Florida, John Ehrlichman had been put in charge of containing the burgeoning scandal. He, in turn, delegated much of that job to John Dean [who turned to] Gordon Liddy. [Dean] reached Liddy at the Finance Committee and they took a walk down $17^{\text {th }}$ Street. . . As Dean recalls it, Liddy conceded that the Watergate burglars were his men but blamed Magruder for 'pushing him into it'."

[^2]:    48
    ${ }^{16}$ Harold Titus, U.S. Attorney, who with his assistants, Earl Silbert and Seymour Glanzer, was the prosecutor before the grand jury investigating the Watergate break-in, etc.
    ${ }^{17}$ In standard orthography, "hhn영keh" would be shown as "hhn ${ }^{\circ} \underline{O} k a y{ }^{\circ}$ "

[^3]:    49
    ${ }^{18}$ The italicized "huh huh" is not laughter, but two rapid outbreaths. In a less detailed transcript it would appear as "hh $\underline{h h}$ ".
    ${ }^{19}$ In standard orthography, "unindIdee $c_{e}$ oconspiraters" would be shown as "undicted co-conspirators".

[^4]:    50
    ${ }^{31}$ The " $h$ heh $h \cdot h e h h!$ " is laughter
    ${ }^{32}$ The first segment of Petersen's utterance, "Lemme kuh back..." is in 'smile voice'.

