## Petersen and Silbert, Telephone

April 15, 1973 ?4-5:15 pm

```
```

Voice: C_o:nversation number thirty ei:ght dash (\cdot) fordy \eight. (0.2) Po:rtion

```
```

Voice: C_o:nversation number thirty ei:ght dash (\cdot) fordy \eight. (0.2) Po:rtion
1 of a telephone conversation b'tween hHenry E Petersen ·hh en Earl J
1 of a telephone conversation b'tween hHenry E Petersen ·hh en Earl J
Silbert. (0.3) This portion, was recorded on April fifteen 'h nineteen
Silbert. (0.3) This portion, was recorded on April fifteen 'h nineteen
sev'ndy three, 'hh at en nunknown ti:me between fou:r? 'h en five
sev'ndy three, 'hh at en nunknown ti:me between fou:r? 'h en five
fifteen: pee em.
fifteen: pee em.
(5.1) ((dead air))
(5.1) ((dead air))
Silbert: Hello?
Silbert: Hello?
Peters: Ear:1?
Peters: Ear:1?
Silbert: Yeah?=
Silbert: Yeah?=
Peters: =Yeh how yih coming.
Peters: =Yeh how yih coming.
Peters: [·hhhHHH
Peters: [·hhhHHH
Silbert: 2 [( \bullet ) wi'r uh,hh okay Shaffer wuuz in the middle'v summari:zeen

```
```

Silbert: 2 [( \bullet ) wi'r uh,hh okay Shaffer wuuz in the middle'v summari:zeen

```
```

```
                eh his pihzishin.
Peters:
```

$\qquad$

``` Uh hush?
( Ø )
-HHH
Silbert: •( )
( Ø ):
```



```
[
[((th th \())\)
Silbert: \(\quad\) His pihzishin is hardening \(\downarrow\) a idle. \(\downarrow\)
40
\({ }^{1}\) Earl Silbert headed up the prosecution of the Watergate break-in. Henry Petersen, John Mitchel's replacement as Attorney General, was Silbert's "boss" (Emery, p.293)
\({ }_{2}^{2}\) John Dean's attorney, Charles Shaffer, "a hard-nosed criminal lawyer" (Lukas, p.416), who had been one of the prosecutors of Teamsters Union leader, James Hoffa (Emery, p.293). John Dean began meeting with Shaffer on March 30, 1973 (Emery, p.293).
```

1

```
Peters:
```

$\qquad$

``` Uh•:: huh?=
(Voice): (0.6) \(=((\) in \(b k g))(\)
Silbert:
```

$\qquad$

``` eh: in the sense thet uh::
(Voice): (0.5) ((in bkg)) ( )
Silbert:
```

$\qquad$

``` -(given) that • (bassically:) • uh:: ((tk-tk-krrrk-krrrk) )
Silbert:
``` \(\qquad\)
``` \(\uparrow \underline{i f}\) John is believ \(\downarrow\) able.
Peters: (1.5) \(\cdot \mathrm{k} \cdot \mathrm{pt} \cdot \mathrm{k} \cdot \mathrm{khhhhh}\)
Silbert:
``` \(\qquad\)
``` we have \(\bar{a}: \downarrow\) uh \(\bullet(\) we ev \()\) en ibstruc[tion case against Haldemin in Earlichmin=
Peters:
[•hhheyeh
Silbert: \({ }^{3}=\) in [the sense thit they [knew everything thet w'z goin' on.
```

```
(Peters) wo a. \(\downarrow\) [
```

```
        \(\begin{array}{ll}\text { [ } & \text { [ bwuup })) \\ {[ }\end{array}\)
```

        \(\begin{array}{ll}\text { [ } & \text { [ bwuup })) \\ {[ }\end{array}\)
                                    [•hahh-
    Peters: If: [(0.4) •HHHH •if:: ụ-uh-John Dean is b'lievable you have in ọbstruction= [ [((klk))
Peters: $\quad=$ in ca:se against $h \underline{\mathrm{Ha} a: l d e m i n ~ i n ~ E h r[l i c h m i n ?] ~}$
Silbert:
[W e : 11,$]$ lemme say this tih yih they knew

```

```

pla:ns end uh:: $\downarrow:<\cdot h h \cdot h h$ he $\bullet l l$ they were $u h:: a h<$ ahyuh know like Ehrlichmin:
$[(-0.6-)$ iss $\uparrow y \underline{u h}$ know is present uh::: $(\cdot) \uparrow$ yuh know again going through= [ (([shhk))
Silbert: $\quad=$ the $\cdot$ hhh same stories aboat yih know the deep $\cdot($ sih $)$ ih git rid a' thē eh git51
${ }^{3}$ Here and elsewhere, such words as 'knew', ‘due', etc., are pronounced 'noo', 'doo', etc.

```

```

Silbert: ____
Cuz not uh [-(0.6)-] Strawn Strawn's testimony so far }\downarrow\mathrm{ is uhh }\downarrow\mathrm{ is inc'nsist'n=
[(0.4)]((tk] k))
Silbert: }\quad=\downarrow\mathrm{ wih that }
(0.3)
Silbert:
6 -This w'z' zohn idea, Haldemin 'ardly even knew the money w'z there in he jist
(Ø)::

```

```

                ·heeyhhheah•
            (0.3)
                He jis' called up<(\cdot) uh mean \\underline{his}\mathrm{ story is basically not too buhlievable. That}
                is Straw:n's,(*) uh::::
    Peters: Hm hm,
Silbert:
(but) Joh:n's i[s much more: \uparrowcredible becuz 'ee siz yeah give it tih LuhRue=
Peters: [·hmhhyhhahhh
Silbert: =cuz LuhRue is giving all the \uparrowmoney in that's why the money went tuh Luh
Rue: where
Peters: ·hhuhh
Silbert: whereas uh-ụ (·) Strawn c'n say [well I'm in- yüh know 'ee doesn' return it tuh=
[
[·tk
Silbert: =the f' }\uparrow\mathrm{ nance c'mm }\downarrow\mathrm{ ittee.=which is normally where it=go ba:ck,
( ): •(So-)
Peters: $\quad h \mathrm{Hmh} \underline{\mathrm{m}} ?=$
45
${ }^{6}$ In standard orthography, "This w'z' zohn idea" would be shown as "This was his own idea"
${ }^{7}$ Frederick Cheney LaRue, according to the 'Profiles of key figures' in The Watergate Hearings (pp.846-7),
"was an intimate friend and political lieutenant of former Attorney General John N. Mitchell." In Lukas' profile (pp.351-2), he is characterized as "passing messages, briefing witnesses, delivering cash - the indispensable jack-of-all-missions." Assistant to John Mitchell at the committee to re-elect, he was involved in the 'hush money' payments (Lukas, p. ), pleaded guilty to a charge of one count of conspiracy to obstruct justice, sentenced to one to three years, sentence reduced to six months (Jaworski, p.339).

```
```

Silbert: =ah:: he g- 'ee returned it tuh Luh\uparrowRue.=in why d'z 'ee return it tọ Luh\uparrowRue:,
(Peters): ·hahhh
Silbert: becau:se LuhRue::,
Peters: hmhhh[hh
Silbert: [
[i:s uh ah mean Strawn's expl'nation is well 'ee e'z a seenyih ceampaign
offi| \cial.
(0.3)
Peters: pp}hhh
Silbert: •(which) d•(oesn't make) any se:nse. Money oughta go ba::ck uh-::: to: uh (0.7)
a perso[n: uh(`) inna f'`nance commi[ttee.
[
['khhhhh [ [ R Rghight, now d'z ' d'z he say Haldemin
said to?

```

```

                                    (0.2)
    Silbert:

```
\(\qquad\)
``` Said give it to LuhRue?
Peters: Yeahm=
Silbert: =No. Not that. ='Ee said give it ba:ck.
Peters: M\underline{m}[:hm
```



```
[Yuh know, send it back tọ the committee a:nd get ā receipt.
(0.2)
Peters: \(\quad h \mathrm{Hmhm}\)
(0.5)
Silbert: Thet's w't 'aldeman said
Peters: \(\quad h \underline{\mathrm{Hmhm}}\),
(1.5)
Silbert: But uh::: (•) there is ā uh,
((hklk))
```

```
Peters: ·h[hhhhHHHHH
Silbert:
```

$\qquad$

```
                            [yilh know based on the }\uparrow\underline{money?
Peters: (1.2) `nngekhhhhhh[hhh
Silbert:
```

$\qquad$

```
                                    [thē: uh• eyuh [(0.4) ah-uh Dean is really giving us only=
                                    [
                                    [((reciver being shifted around))
Silbert: =(purth). Except fuh the fa::ct (`) the[t- ị-he ed edvi:::sed Haldeman (0.9)\bulletuh=
(Voice):
Silbert: = ffirs' two meetinks. (0.5) \bulletuh thet took place in the Attorney General's
                                    office. •(isit-) (0.3) yih know jist hahrrible in he siz well 'ee siz Halde- ah
                                    Haldemin agree::d, (0.3) \bulletand we sh'd 'av nothing tih do with it.
                                    (0.5)
Silbert: •(Ah min)
            (0.2)
```



```
Silbert: Hah?
Peters: B't nobuddy stopped it.
Silbert: Nobuddy [stopped it.].
Peters:
    [·hhHHHH]H[H
                                    [Ri[ght
                                    [
                                    [No:w//
            (5.4) ((dead air))
Voice: This c_nclu_des the abuse of gover'mint power segments, (`) fer co=nversation
number thirty eight dash (0.2) forty eight.
```

